

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached supporting documentation – additional local requirements may also apply. Further information can be found here: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance.

Property information	Local tracking number:		
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 170258000 Local	al regulatory authority: Becker County		
Property address: 12264 Shorewood Beach Rd. Detroit Lakes, N	IN 56501		
Owner/representative: Diane Peterson	Owner's phone: 701-371-1517		
Brief system description: 1000 gal. tank to drainfield. 180 sq.ft.			
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/19/2022			
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Notice of noncompliance		
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) —	Imminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) - Failing to	protect groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	#3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component	#3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.250	00 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing t	o protect groundwater		
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compl	liance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
Comments or recommendations			
Certification			
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered determination of future system performance has been nor can be mabuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usag	ade due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible		
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true can be used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information		
Business name: Stoll Inspections Inc.	Certification number: 7526		
Inspector signature:	License number: 2982		
(This document has been electronically signed)	Phone: 218-839-1849		
Necessary or locally required supporting docu	ımentation (must be attached)		
☑ Soil observation logs☑ Locally required forms☑ Other information (list):	☐ Tank Integrity Assessment ☐ Operating Permit		

System discharges sewage to the ground surface			
System discharges sewage to drain le or surface waters. System causes sewage backup into le yes* No le le le or establishment. System causes sewage backup into le yes* No le			
welling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an mminent threat to public health and safety.			
nminent threat to public health and safety.			
Describe verification methods and results:			
nk integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: Attached supporting documentation:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
System consists of a seepage pit, ☐ Yes' ☒ No ☐ Pumped at time of inspection			
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, por other pit? Name of maintenance business: Dew	evs		
Sewage tank(s) leak below their			
designed operating depth? Date of maintenance: 7/19	/2022		
☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)			
Date of maintenance			
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three	years)		
	(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))		
is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))			
is failing to protect groundwater. Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – e	xplain belo		
	xplain belo		

	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5				
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unser ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	cured?			
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes¹ ☒ No ☐ Unknown				
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.				
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No			
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☒ No			
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.				
	Describe verification methods and results:				
4.	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of	f 5 ⊠ Not applicable			
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No In BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required			
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	1.			
		!.			
	Compliance criteria:	1.			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No	1.			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No	.			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	1,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	1,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	1,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	1,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	1,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	,			
	Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? ☐ Yes ☐ No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.				

https://www.pca.state.mn.us wq-wwists4-31b • 1/11/21

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation	8/6/1985 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unkr	nown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food		⊠ Yes □	□No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage lodging?				Soil observation logs of the soil observation logs.	completed for th	e report (Attach)
Compliance criteria	a (select one):			Two previous verificat	ions of required	vertical
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Yes [□ No*	separation (Attach) Not applicable (No soi	I treatment area	a)
Drainfield has at le separation distance saturated soil or be						
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1,		⊠ Yes [□ No*	Indicate depths or ele	vations	1
1996, or later or for systems located in	r non-репогтапсе Shoreland or Wellhead	1		A. Bottom of distribution	media	36"
Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*			B. Periodically saturated	l soil/bedrock	>72"	
			C. System separation		>36"	
			D. Required compliance	separation*	36"	
			*May be reduced up to ordinance.	15 percent if allo	owed by Local	
systems built unde Type IV or V syste Rules 7080. 2350 (ms built under 2008	☐ Yes	□ No*	2/2	Topsoil 16"	
Drainfield meets th separation distance saturated soil or be	e designed vertical e from periodically edrock.		·	10 m 2/2	16" SL	
*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Describe verification methods and results:			ı is	MY	16"	
				10yr 1/4 SL 34" Cours Sand w Rocks		
				16	1 7411	nd with

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

	System Drawing			
Date & Initial:	7-19-22		269	_
	170258000	٠		

The system drawing which includes and identifies a graphic scale in feet or indicates all setback distances, all septic/holding/lift tanks, drainfields, wells within 100 feet of system (indicate depth of wells), dwelling and non-dwelling structures, lot lines, road right-of-ways, easements, OHWLs, wetlands, and topographic features (i.e. bluffs).

